ORIGINAL



1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

25FD

2 3

MARC SPITZER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES

6

8

7

9 10

12 13

11

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

NOV 1 5 2004

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF QWEST CORPORATION'S

PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE PLAN.

2004 NOV 15 A 9 05

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-03-0859

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

COMMISSIONERS

On June 5, 2002, in Decision No. 64888, as part of the Section 271 approval process, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") approved Qwest's Corporation's ("Qwest") Performance Assurance Plan ("QPAP"). The QPAP is Exhibit K to Qwest's Statement of Generally Available Terms and Conditions ("SGAT"). The QPAP provides an incentive for Qwest to continue to provide adequate service to wholesale customers following its Section 271 approval. The QPAP became effective when Qwest received Section 271 authorization from the Federal Communication Commission ("FCC") on December 15, 2003.

Section 16.0 of the QPAP provides for a six-month review of the Plan. By Procedural Order dated June 18, 2004, the Commission granted the Utilities Division Staff's ("Staff") request to establish a process for garnering the comments of interested parties on the scope of the six-month review proceeding and other related issues. Pursuant to the June 18, 2004 Procedural Order, Owest, MCImetro Access Transmission Services LLC ("MCI") and Eschelon Telecom, Inc. ("Eschelon") filed comments.

On July 30, 2004, Staff filed a Response to the Parties' Comments Regarding the Scope of the 6-Month Review, and noted that there was disagreement amongst the parties concerning the scope of the review. On August 6, 2004, Staff filed a Supplement to its July 30, 2004 filing, providing further description and analysis of the parties' positions and setting forth a list of unresolved issues for discussion.

1

Conference on August 24, 2004, to discuss how to proceed in this matter. Staff, Qwest, MCI, Eschelon and Covad participated. Prior to the Procedural Conference, Qwest circulated a matrix of the issues that had been raised. At the August 24, 2004 Procedural Conference, the consensus was that it would be beneficial to the process if the parties tried to resolve consensually the issues that had been identified in the matrix. The parties suggested a status conference in approximately 30 days. By Procedural Order dated August 25, 2004, the Commission ordered the parties to file an updated Joint Matrix of Unresolved Issues by September 24, 2004, and scheduled a Procedural Conference for the purpose of establishing a procedure for resolving the remaining unresolved issues.

Pursuant to Procedural Order dated August 5, 2004, the Commission convened a Procedural

On September 23, 2004, the parties requested an additional 30 days to allow them to continue discussions and further narrow the issues. By Procedural Order dated September 24, 2004, the Commission ordered the parties to file a Joint Matrix of Unresolved Issues by October 25, 2004, and scheduled a Procedural Conference on October 29, 2004.

On October 26, 2004, the parties requested that the matter be continued several days to accommodate travel schedules. By Procedural Order dated October 27, 2004, the Procedural Conference was continued until November 3, 2004.

On November 1, 2004, MCI, Eschelon, AT&T, Covad, Staff and Qwest filed a Stipulation which indicated that they had resolved all but one of the outstanding issues. Attached to the Stipulation were the Joint Matrix of Arizona issues and the Stipulation that the parties filed in a similar proceeding in Washington. Issues numbers 2, 3, 4, 7 and 9 in the Joint Matrix had been pending resolution in Washington at the time the Matrix was filed in Arizona. The Stipulating Parties agree to resolve the Arizona issues in the same manner as contained in the Washington Stipulation. The Arizona Stipulation also resolves issues concerning OP-5, New Service Quality; PO-2, Electronic Flow-Through and BI-5, Billing Claims Adjustments; QPAP Modifications pending from the May 3, 2004, SGAT Exhibit B filing; and Staff's requests concerning information about Tier II payments and about CLECs which had not opted into the QPAP.

The one issue the parties could not resolve was how Performance Indicator Definition ("PID") modifications would be made outside the six-month review process. Heretofore, Owest and the

CLECs had addressed changes in a forum known as the Long Term PID Administration ("LTPA") process. Qwest determined to withdraw from that process, and has proposed an alternative process that addresses issues on a carrier-by-carrier basis. Staff and the CLECs question whether Qwest has the right to unilaterally withdraw from the LTPA process. Qwest argues that the LTPA was an *ad hoc* process that was never mandated by the Commission, or any other authority. Staff argues that the LTPA process was a critical component of, and relied upon by, the Commission in its

deliberations on the QPAP and Section 271.

The parties agree that the Commission can proceed with its consideration of whether to approve the stipulated resolution of the issues affecting the QPAP and the PIDs (Exhibit B to the SGAT) independently of resolving the dispute concerning the LTPA process. The Stipulation provides that Qwest will file revised SGAT Exhibits K and B by November 12, 2004. Qwest stated at the November 3, 2004, Procedural Conference that after it files Exhibits K and B, parties should then have an opportunity to file comments on the revisions to ensure that they reflect the terms of their agreement. Following the submission of comments, the Hearing Division would submit a Recommended Order to the Commission concerning the Stipulation.

The parties differ somewhat on how to proceed with deliberations on the appropriate process for PID modifications between six-month reviews. Staff and the CLECs proposed that Qwest file a copy of its proposed revised procedure and that the parties then be given an opportunity to file comments on the proposal. Qwest believes that all parties should file simultaneous comments on their recommendations for the appropriate process. Regardless of which party files first, there is agreement that there should be an opportunity to file reply comments. Furthermore, Qwest believes that there are several legal issues involved that would merit the filing of briefs.

The LTPA process was employed as a means to discuss changes to the PIDs outside the six month QPAP review. At some point Qwest determined that it would no longer participate in the LTPA and posted a new process for discussing changes on its website. Qwest's new procedures appear to be the only alternative to the LTPA that have been proposed to date. Because Qwest is proposing a change to what has heretofore been the status quo, Qwest should file the first round of testimony in support of its proposed process for addressing PID modifications. Staff and other

interested parties should then file responsive testimony, and Qwest would then have an opportunity to file rebuttal testimony. 3 Many of the same parties to this docket are also involved in the docket to consider Owest's Revised Price Cap Plan (T-01051B-03-0454 et al.), which is set for hearing to commence in 4 5 February. To help avoid conflicts and over extending resources, the parties should file a proposed schedule for filing testimony, briefs, and a recommendation concerning the need for a hearing. 7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Owest shall file its SGAT Exhibits K and B that reflect the terms of the Stipulation no later than November 19, 2004. 9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that interested parties shall file comments to the revised 10 Exhibits K and B by December 3, 2004, and shall include an assessment of whether Qwest's filing 11 complies with the terms of the Stipulation. 12 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall file their recommended process and a 13 schedule for the resolution of the LTPA process by December 10, 2004. 14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive 15 any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing. DATED this 15th day of November, 2004. 16 17 18 19 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 20 21 22 OWEST CORPORATION TIMOTHY BERG 1801 CALIFORNIA STREET, #5100 FENNEMORE CRAIG DENVER CO 80202 3003 N. CENTRAL AVE., SUITE 2600 23 PHOENIX AZ 85016 MAUREEN ARNORLD 24 U S WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC. MARK DIOGUARDI 3033 N. THIRD STREET, ROOM 1010 TIFFANY AND BOSCO PA PHOENIX AZ 85012 **500 DIAL TOWER** 25 1850 N. CENTRAL AVENUE MICHAEL M. GRANT PHOENIX AZ 85004 GALLGHER AND KENNEDY 26 2575 E CAMELBACK RD JEFF CROCKETT PHOENIX AZ 85016-9225 **SNELL & WILMER** 27 ONE ARIZONA CENTER

28

PHOENIX AZ 85004-0001

1	DARREN S WEINGARD STEPHEN H KUKTA SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS CO LP	JIM SCHELTEMA BLUMENFELD & COHEN
2	1850 GATEWAY DRIVE 7TH FLOOR SAN MATEO CA 94404-2467	1655 MASSACHUSETTS AVE. SUITE 300 WASHINGTON DC 20036
3	THOMAS H. CAMPBELL LEWIS & ROCA 40 N. CENTRAL AVE.	DIANE BACON LEGISLATIVE DIRECTOR COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA
4	PHOENIX AZ 85007 ANDREW O. ISAR	5818 N 7TH ST STE 206 PHOENIX AZ 85014-5811
5	TRI 4312 92ND AVENUE, N.W. GIG HARBOR WA 98335	JEFFREY CROCKET SNELL & WILMER ONE ARIZONA CENTER
6	RICHARD M RINDLER MORTON J POSNER	PHOENIX AZ 85004
7	SWIDLER & BERLIN 3000 K STREET NW STE 300 WASHINGTON DC 20007	MARK N ROGERS EXCELL AGENT SERVICES LLC P.O. Box 52092
8	MICHAEL PATTEN ROSHKA, HEYMAN & DEWULF	PHOENIX AZ 85072-2092 MARK P TRINCHERO
9	ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 E. VAN BUREN SUITE 800 PHOENIX AZ 85004-3906	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 1300 S.W. FIFTH AVE STE 2300 PORTLAND OR 97201
10	KAREN L CLAUSON THOMAS F DIXON	MARK DINUNZIO
11	MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP 707 17TH STREET #3900 DENVER CO 80202	COX ARIZONA TELCOM, LLC 20401 N. 29th Avenue, Suite 100 PHOENIX AZ 85027
	RICHARD W WOLTERS AT&T & TCG	JON LOEHMAN MANAGING DIRECTOR-REGULATORY
13 14	1875 LAWRENCE STREET STE 1575 DENVER CO 80202	SBC TELECOM INC 300 CONVENT STREET, STE. 1900 SAN ANTONIO TX 78205-3717
	JOYCE HUNDLEY UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	ANDREA P HARRIS
15 16	ANTITURST DIVISION 1401 H STREET NW STE 8000 WASHINGTON DC 20530	SENIOR MANAGER, REGULATORY ALLEGIANCE TELECOM, INC. PO BOX 2610
17	JOAN BURKE OSBORN MALEDON	DUBLIN CA 94568 KAREN CLAUSON
18	2929 N CENTRAL AVE 21ST FLOOR PO BOX 36379 PHOENIX AZ 85067-6379	ESCHELON TELECOM INC 730 N 2ND AVE S., SUITE 1200 MINEAPOLIS MN 55402
19	SCOTT S WAKEFIELD RUCO	TODD C WILEY GALLAGHER & KENNEDY
20	1110 W. WASHINGTON, STE. 220 PHOENIX AZ 85007	2575 E CAMELBACK RD PHOENIX AZ 85016-9225
21	GREGORY HOFFMAN AT&T	HARRY L. PLISKIN COVAD COMMUNICATIONS CO
22	759 FOLSOM STREET, ROM 2159 SAN FRANCISCO AZ 94107-1243	7901 LOWRY BLVD DENVER CO 80230
23	DANIEL WAGGONER DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE	BRIAN THOMAS TIME WARNER TELECOM, INC.
24	2600 CENTURY SQUARE 1501 FOURTH AVE SEATTLE WA 98101-1688	520 S W 6TH AVE, SUITE 300 PORTLAND OR 97204
25	RAYMOND S. HEYMAN RANDALL H. WARNER	JON POSTON ACTS
26	ROSHKA HEYMAN & DEWULF ONE ARIZONA CENTER 400 E VAN BUREN SUITE 800	6733 E DALE LANE CAVE CREEK ARIZONA 85331-6561
27	PHOENIX AZ 85004	JACQUELINE MANOGIAN MOUNTAIN TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
28		1430 W. BROADWAY ROAD, STE. A200 TEMPE AZ 85282

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-03-0859

1	KIMBERLY M. KIRBY DAVIS DIXON KIRBY LLP 19200 VON KARMAN AVENUE, STE. 600 IRVINE CA 92612
2	
3	CYNTHIA A. MITCHELL 1470 WALNUT STREET, STE. 200 BOULDER CO 80302
4	PETER S. SPIVACK HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP
5	555 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20004-1109
6	MARTHA RUSSO HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP
7	555 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20004-1109
8	DOUGLAS R. M. NIZARIAN HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP 555 13TH STREET, NW
9	WASHINGTON DC 20004-1109
10	TOBIN ROSEN PRINCIPAL ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY
11	OFFICE OF THE TUCSON CITY ATTORNEY 255 W. ALEMEDA - 7TH FLOOR TUCSON AZ 85701
12	PATRICK A. CLISHAM AT&T ARIZONA STATE DIRECTOR
13	320 E. BROADMOOR COURT PHOENIX AZ 85022
14	MOUNTAIN TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. 1430 W. BROADWAY ROAD, STE. A200
15	TEMPE AZ 85282
16	MITCHELL F. BRECHER GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLO 800 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW
17	WASHINGTON DC 20006 RICHARD S. WOTERS
18	AT&T 1875 LAWRENCE STREET, TOOM 1575
19	DENVER CO 80202-1847
20	MARY E. STEELE DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE 2600 CENTURY SQUARE 1501 FOURTH AVENUE
21	SEATTLE WA 98101-1688
22	MARTI ALLBRIGHT MPOWER COMMUNICATIONS 5711 S. BENTON CIRCLE
23	LITTLETON CO 80123
24	MARTIN A. ARONSON MORRILL & ARONSON ONE E. CAMELBACK ROAD, STE. 340
25	PHOENIX AZ 85012-1648
26	PATRICK A. CLISHAM AT&T ARIZONA STATE DIRECTOR 320 E. BROADMOOR COURT
27	PHOENIX AZ 85022

28

ERNEST G. JOHNSON, DIRECTOR UTILITIES DIVISION ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85007

CHRISTOPHER KEMPLEY, CHIEF COUNSEL LEGAL DIVISION ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET PHOENIX, AZ/85007

By:

Delfor Person Secretary to Jane Rodda